Regional and local government decision makers require a range of mapping products and other tools for coastal adaptation planning.

The level of modelling and other detailed assessments that underpin the different spatial scales (also referred to as geographic scales) of mapping varies considerably.

The guide is intended to help coastal planners and managers identify whether a mapping product is fit for purpose, understand the limitations of available mapping products, and determine when certain adaptation planning decisions may require a more detailed assessment and mapping approach.

The focus of this guide is on the different spatial scales of coastal hazard and risk mapping products. Issues concerning the selection of an appropriate temporal scale for coastal hazard and risk assessment are beyond the scope of this guide.

How to use this guide

This guide has been prepared by coastal planning and engineering consultants with experience in the preparation and application of coastal hazard mapping products.

This guide describes:

- Different approaches to coastal hazard assessment and the varying spatial scales of coastal hazard mapping products that consider coastal inundation and/or coastal erosion
- The physical processes that may not be sufficiently resolved or represented in the different levels of assessments and therefore not captured in the mapping
- Level of assessment and mapping resolution required for different adaptation planning decisions
The requirements and intended outcomes of coastal hazard and planning studies are generally set by State and Territory policy and/or guideline documents. CoastAdapt provides a summary of the laws and policy relevant to coastal planning in Australia. The context, objectives, performance indicators and targets need to be tailored to the relevant policy and/or guidelines and in consultation between local government, key stakeholders and the community.

### 1 Approaches and geographic scales for coastal hazard assessments

The appropriate coastal hazard assessment methodology, and associated mapping effort for a given study area, is typically proportionate to the perceived risk to coastal assets and values. For example, the approach to assess the vulnerability of a densely populated urban area is likely to differ to the approach adopted for sections of undeveloped coastline.

Mapping products and other tools for coastal adaptation planning, include:

1. **National and state-level** datasets and mapping for high-level strategic planning, particularly for low-risk decisions that may influence a number of local government areas or a broader region. This mapping also helps to identify knowledge gaps and prioritise and frame necessary finer scale assessments.

2. **Local government area** scale assessment and mapping for strategic planning and development of coastal hazard overlays for planning schemes.

3. **Coastal compartment** scale assessment and mapping for decisions that may influence an individual coastal compartment or estuary.

4. **Site-based** assessment and mapping at lot scale for decisions that are relevant to a specific development application (typically prepared by the applicant) or for evaluating specific adaptation options.

The level of modelling and other detailed assessments that underpin the different scales of mapping varies considerably. It is therefore important that coastal planners and managers understand the limitations of the available mapping products and when certain decisions may require a more detailed assessment and mapping approach. Often a preferred or recommended approach will require an investment in data collection and/or numerical modelling with significant time and cost implications. Mapping at smaller scales or a higher resolution will typically have greater minimum data and modelling requirements.

With a focus on sea-level rise impact assessment, Eliot (2013) proposed a ‘geomorphic framework’ approach as a means to identify the appropriate level of modelling and mapping effort. The report describes a number of relevant concepts and presents Western Australian case studies that may be of interest to users of this guide. Figure 1, adapted from Eliot (2013), illustrates the hazard mapping scales, associated level of data and modelling effort discussed in this guide.
In the context of this guide, it is important that the distinction between hazard assessment and risk assessment is clearly understood:

- The **hazard assessment** and associated mapping should seek to estimate the likelihood or probability of the coastal hazard occurring.

- The **risk assessment** considers both the likelihood of the hazard and the consequence to defined built, social or natural values. The tolerance to a particular hazard will vary throughout a local government area or region and also needs careful consideration during the risk assessment process.

This guide deals exclusively with coastal hazard assessment and mapping – not the assessment of risks to assets or values within the hazard areas identified by the mapping. The hazards discussed in this guide include coastal inundation and coastal erosion.

Other modules within CoastAdapt provide information on assessing coastal climate risks. This includes guidance on first-pass risk screening, second-pass risk assessment and third-pass risk assessment, which generally follow the concepts described by Sharples et al. (2008). Where relevant, this guide references ways to incorporate hazard assessment and
mapping in these risk assessment processes. For more information about the application of the Australian Standard for Risk Management (AS/NZS ISO31000:2009) to coastal management and climate adaptation refer also to Fisk and Kay (2010), Rollason et al. (2010a) and Rollason and Haines (2011).

1.1 National and state-level assessments and mapping

National and state-level coastal hazard mapping and visualisation products generally provide an overview of the relative vulnerability across a region and may provide sufficient detail for high-level decision making. CoastAdapt provides links to a number of national coastal hazard mapping and visualisation products and tools that are useful for a first-pass assessment, including:

- National Coastal Risk Assessment
- Coastal Risk Australia
- Smartline

CoastAdapt also provides summaries and links to various other national and state datasets to assist with coastal adaptation planning:

- Data and datasets for coastal adaptation – Information Manual 3
- CoastAdapt Datasets 1: Shoreline Explorer – present-day coastal sensitivity to flooding and erosion
- CoastAdapt Datasets 2: Future sea-level rise and its effects on coastal inundation

National and state-level assessment and mapping are generally suitable for first-pass risk screening, and in some instances second-pass risk assessment, and can assist in identifying knowledge gaps and prioritise and frame necessary finer scale assessments. While the resolution of the underlying data to create the maps (such as the land digital elevation models) may be of a relatively high resolution, the assessments are generally based on regional-scale assumptions with regard to coastal processes where nearshore bathymetry, hydrodynamics and shoreline dynamics (for example) are not accurately represented.

National and state-level hazard mapping is not typically suitable for detailed risk assessments since key physical processes and potential adaptation measures that may mitigate the risk (such as a seawall or levee bank) are not sufficiently resolved.

1.2 Local government area assessments and mapping

Local government planning schemes that cover coastal regions are typically required to include coastal hazard area overlay mapping. This scale of hazard mapping is also often used as the basis for second-pass risk assessment and in some instances third-pass risk assessment and adaptation planning studies, noting that some key coastal compartments or communities may require higher-resolution hazard assessment and mapping to support detailed risk assessment and coastal adaptation planning decisions.

In this section the level of assessment and the key physical processes represented by local government area scale coastal hazard studies is described. The resolution of local government area hazard assessment and mapping may be in the order of tens to hundreds of metres. This level of assessment and mapping is typically needed in order to proceed to the more detailed methods described in the subsequent sections.
1.2.1 Coastal inundation hazard

Local government area scale storm tide hazard and mapping studies are typically based on extensive data analysis, numerical and parametric modelling of meteorological conditions and coastal processes, and statistical or probabilistic methods. The assessments to derive offshore water level statistics or ‘design water levels’ require representation of the following key physical processes:

- Regional climatology analysis and atmospheric pressure and wind field modelling (used to force hydrodynamic and wave models)
- Bathymetry variation at sufficient resolution to resolve key features (particularly in the nearshore areas where depths are less than approximately 20 m)
- Tidal variation along the coastline and within estuaries
- Surge development and propagation
- Wave growth and nearshore wave conditions that may contribute to the extreme water level (particularly for open coasts with breaking waves).

The key objective of a local government area storm tide study is to derive offshore water level statistics at number of locations along the study area coastline and within estuaries. The assessments typically consider both current climate and future climate conditions. The offshore water levels are then used as the basis for developing coastal inundation hazard maps by extrapolating the offshore water levels over land following the so-called ‘bathtub’ or ‘bucket-fill’ mapping approach. This provides a consistent mapping product that covers the entire local government area which may serve as a planning scheme coastal inundation hazard overlay. Such maps are often used to inform strategic and land use planning decisions or as the basis for second-pass risk assessment. Local government area scale coastal inundation mapping is also often used to guide appropriate planning levels for development works, noting that site-based assessments and mapping are generally required to support decisions related to development applications or key existing assets (this is discussed further in Section 1.4).

While the bathtub mapping approach is simple and relatively easy to implement (and therefore attractive) it is important to recognise its limitations, including:

- Inundation may be shown in some locations that are not hydraulically connected to the sea but fall below the criteria design water level
- The approach assumes that there is sufficient time and water available to fill overland areas up to the criteria design water level
- The coincident effect of lower river and creek flows combined with coastal inundation is not resolved
- The method only provides information on inundation extent and depth; overland flow velocity is not resolved and may be an important component of the hazard (particularly with regard to consequence and tolerance)
- Intermittent wave run-up and the overtopping of coastal barriers is not resolved and may influence the pattern of inundation and hazard definition for locations close to the shoreline
A static shoreline and beach profile is assumed; rapid changes during short term storm events or long term changes due to gradual sea level rise are not resolved. At some key locations additional coastal processes may be important, overland flow velocity may be needed to support a risk assessment study, and/or higher resolution mapping may be desirable. In these instances, the outputs from the storm tide study can be used as input to more sophisticated and higher resolution numerical models to support a detailed mapping exercise for selected coastal compartments or communities. This is discussed further in Section 1.3.

### 1.2.2 Coastal erosion hazard

Local government area coastal erosion hazard assessments typically seek to:

- Describe the local coastal geology and evolution
- Identify and map coastal compartments based on physical attributes, such as wave exposure, geological framework, sediment availability and aspect
- Understand the contemporary coastal processes, including:
  - Sediment supply and transport pathways
  - Historical trends in shoreline position
  - Design water level and wave conditions (usually informed by a local government area storm tide hazard study)
- Provide erosion hazard assessments at key locations or coastal communities, including consideration to:
  - Long term trends due to gradients in sediment transport between coastal compartments
  - Storm erosion potential modelling to estimate current climate hazard areas
  - The potential for further losses of land due to sand dune slumping or coastal landslip
  - The shoreline response to sea level rise
- Map the erosion hazard area likelihood for specific planning periods of interest.

Local government area scale coastal erosion hazard mapping will typically provide sufficient detail for second-pass risk assessment. For undeveloped areas and/or locations with low economic, social and natural values the local government area scale mapping may also be sufficient for third-pass risk assessment.

Densely populated coastlines and areas with significant values are likely to require more detailed coastal erosion hazard assessments to support sound adaptation planning decisions. Site-based assessments and mapping of the erosion potential and mitigation measures may also be required to support decisions related to development applications or critical infrastructure. These approaches are discussed further in Section 1.3 and Section 1.4.
1.3 Coastal compartment assessments and mapping

The identification of coastal compartments provides a robust framework to target assessment of the coastal hazards and risks, and the development of coastal adaptation pathways tailored to the local conditions. Coastal compartments are recognised as a hierarchical system with ‘nested’ tertiary, secondary and primary elements. CoastAdapt provides information on the key characteristics and susceptibility to change of 359 compartments around the Australian coast which may support first-pass risk screening and in some instances second-pass risk assessment.

Refined coastal compartment scale hazard mapping, often underpinned by calibrated and validated numerical model output, is typically needed to support third-pass risk assessment for urban areas and locations with significant economic, social and natural value.

Coastal compartment scale assessments for key locations would typically build upon existing national, state and local government scale assessment results. The hazard assessment and mapping approach would be of a higher resolution (in the order of tens of metres) and aim to represent additional coastal features and physical processes, including:

- The geology throughout the coastal compartment, possibly informed by geotechnical survey
- Coastal inundation flow velocity (as opposed to just depth and extent which is a key limitation of the bathtub mapping approach)
- The overtopping of coastal barriers due to wave processes
- Coincident catchment flooding and coastal inundation hazard assessment
- Short term shoreline erosion potential due to storm events
- Long term erosion trends due to gradients in sediment supply
- Changes in shoreline position and beach profile due to sea level rise
- The cyclic behaviour of river entrance and/or channel migration (where appropriate).

This level of assessment and mapping is generally required when undertaking ‘residual risk’ or ‘risk treatment’ assessments of proposed adaptation options that influence and entire coastal compartment. Examples may include a large-scale beach nourishment program, a large seawall or the implementation of land use controls. Residual risk assessments are typically used in conjunction with other decision making tools, such as multi-criteria analysis and/or cost benefit analysis, to identify the preferred adaptation option for a given location or asset.
1.4 Site-based assessments and mapping

Site-based assessment and mapping is often required to evaluate the current and future hazard vulnerability of key existing assets and critical infrastructure, understand how the risk-profile may change over time, and develop a plan for the appropriate adaptation response.

Site-based investigation of coastal hazards, risk mitigation options and mapping is also often required to assist the assessment of proposed development on land within the local government coastal hazard overlay. These technical studies are usually commissioned by the applicant and assessed by local government and other regulatory agencies.

A site-based coastal hazard assessment and mapping study would typically consider the key physical processes discussed in the previous sections at lot scale (resolution in the order of metres). This level of detail is typically beyond that required for most local government strategic planning, risk assessment and adaptation planning decisions. The exception may be for evaluating specific adaptation options where detailed site-based hazard and risk assessment would form part of a broader design process or where the trade-offs between proposed adaptation measures and coastal values requires further consideration.

2 Data and modelling needs for mapping and different scales

As a general guide, Table 1 provides a summary of the data inputs and modelling effort required to develop the different scales of mapping discussed in this guide. The applicability of certain modelling approaches depends on the availability of important datasets for model forcing and calibration/validation.
### Hazard Assessment and Mapping Scale

**National and State scale**
- High-level assessment and mapping for low risk decision making
- Key physics and local scale topography, geology and coastal barrier unresolved
- Hazard mapping is based on inundation or erosion extents
- Single climate change and planning horizon scenarios considered
- Suitable for first-pass risk screening and in some instances second-pass risk assessment

**Local government scale**
- Assessment and mapping to support regional scale decision making (e.g. hazard overlays for planning schemes)
- Assessment and mapping resolution typically tens to hundreds of metres
- Key physics and local scale topography, geology and coastal barrier unresolved
- Hazard mapping is based on inundation extent and depth and coastal erosion extent and considered likelihood
- One or two climate change and planning horizon scenarios considered
- Suitable for second-pass risk assessment and in some instances third-pass risk assessment (undeveloped or low value areas).

### Storm Tide Inundation

- Present-day HAT + SLR component
- 'Bathtub' or 'Bucket fill' mapping approach
- 2D Hydrodynamic modelling to derive HAT variation along study area coastline
- Wind and atmospheric pressure modelling
- Spectral wave modelling to determine offshore wave conditions
- Regional model calibration to nearby tide and wave recording locations
- Wave setup and runup estimates following empirical relationships to determine the influence of waves on storm tide level
- Present-day HAT + Wave influences + SLR component
- 'Bathtub' or 'Bucket fill' mapping approach
- Hazard areas defined by depth

### Coastal Erosion

- Erosion risk based on permanent inundation of land by present day HAT + SLR component
- Large factor of safety to account for simplification
- Short term erosion and 'storm bite' estimates based on design water level and wave height statistics and simple 'one-line' modelling (e.g. Vellinga, 1983)
- Long term recession based on analysis of historical record and longshore sediment transport modelling
- Morphological response to SLR based on simple 'one-line' modelling, (e.g. Bruun, 1962)

### Minimum Data Requirements

- Regional scale coastal geology
- Tidal plane information
- SLR projections
- Topographic LiDAR

---

**Table 1: Summary of data inputs and modelling effort necessary to develop mapping scales.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Hazard Assessment and Mapping Scale</th>
<th>Storm Tide Inundation</th>
<th>Coastal Erosion</th>
<th>Minimum Data Requirements</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>National and State scale</td>
<td>Present-day HAT + SLR component</td>
<td>Erosion risk based on permanent inundation of land by present day HAT + SLR component</td>
<td>Regional scale coastal geology</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Local government scale</td>
<td>2D Hydrodynamic modelling to derive HAT variation along study area coastline</td>
<td>Short term erosion and 'storm bite' estimates based on design water level and wave height statistics and simple 'one-line' modelling (e.g. Vellinga, 1983)</td>
<td>Regional scale bathymetry</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Wind and atmospheric pressure modelling</td>
<td>Long term recession based on analysis of historical record and longshore sediment transport modelling</td>
<td>Regional scale bathymetry for key locations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Spectral wave modelling to determine offshore wave conditions</td>
<td>Morphological response to SLR based on simple 'one-line' modelling, (e.g. Bruun, 1962)</td>
<td>Regional scale wind and atmospheric pressure fields (e.g. CFSR)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Regional model calibration to nearby tide and wave recording locations</td>
<td></td>
<td>Design water level statistics</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Wave setup and runup estimates following empirical relationships to determine the influence of waves on storm tide level</td>
<td></td>
<td>Design wave statistic</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Present-day HAT + Wave influences + SLR component</td>
<td></td>
<td>Present day beach profile survey data</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>'Bathtub' or 'Bucket fill' mapping approach</td>
<td></td>
<td>Historical beach profile data</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Hazard areas defined by depth</td>
<td></td>
<td>Beach sediment sample and analysis</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---
**Coastal compartment scale**
- Assessment and mapping to support individual coastal compartment or community decision making
- Assessment and mapping resolution typically tens of metres
- Typically needed to support a specific management action or adaptation strategy
- Key physics and local scale topography, geology and coastal barrier considered
- Hazard mapping is based on inundation extent and depth and velocity and coastal erosion extent
- Multiple climate change and planning horizon scenarios considered
- Needed to support third-pass risk assessment for urban areas and locations with significant economic, social and natural value

| 2D Hydrodynamic modelling to derive HAT variation along study area coastline |
| Wind and atmospheric pressure modelling |
| Spectral wave modelling to determine offshore wave conditions |
| Wave setup and runup estimates following empirical relationships to determine the influence of waves on storm tide level |
| Detailed model calibration and validation to locally recorded datasets |
| Overtopping of coastal barriers modelled and considered detail (e.g. EurOtop II, 2016) |
| Mapping based on inundation modelling |
| Hazard areas defined by depth and velocity considerations |
| Coincident catchment flooding scenarios to assess lower river and estuarine impacts |
| Potential hazard mitigation option assessment |

**Site-based scale**
- To support the assessment of key existing assets, critical infrastructure or proposed development on land within the local government coastal hazard overlay.
- Assessment and mapping resolution typically less than ten metres
- Assessments and mapping commissioned by the applicant and assessed by local government and other regulatory agencies

As listed above

**In addition to the above:**
- Detailed coastal geology
- Detailed nearshore bathymetry
- Local water level, wave, wind and atmospheric pressure recordings
- Beach profile survey data
- Historical beach profile data
- Local geotechnical survey data
- Beach sediment sample and analysis
- Lower river water level and stream flow recordings

---

1 The appropriate coastal erosion hazard assessment methodology is highly dependent upon local coastal landforms. The appropriate methodology for a given study area should be developed by experts in the fields of coastal science, engineering and/or geomorphology.
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